MEMORANDUM

20804

REFER		NOTED	
TO	DATE	BY	DATE
RETURN TO		FILE	

MONTI
EXH. 34.

TO: H. Tessler
FROM: E. M. Monti
DATE: February 28, 1967
SUBJECT: WORLD TRADE CENTER - Spray Fire-Proofing

REFERENCE:

COPY TO: Messrs. J. Kudler (Fishman), M. Levy, J. Solomon (Roth)

Reference is made to your letter to Jim Kudler (Fishman), dated February 20, 1967, requesting comments on a letter from Emery Roth & Sons dated January 16, 1967.

My comments on Roth's letter are as follows:

The last paragraph of Roth's letter states, "Unless you direct us to the contrary, we will specify the overspray for all Limpet surfaces and as an alternate to the cementitious spray."

a. I assume the architect does not mean Limpet as the only manufacturer. There are numerous other fibrous spray fire-proofing materials that are at least equal to Limpet. The letter lead us to believe that the architect intended to specify Limpet as a sole source.

b. Overspray on a fibrous material such as Limpet, or others, is only effective if the spray fireproofing has been tamped.

c. I question the ability of a fibrous fireproofing, with overspray, to be able to sucessfully withstand six cycles in the elevator shafts without proof of laboratory tests.

E. M. Monti
Construction Manager
The World Trade Center

EMM/ee

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
PX-463